

WAR SHIP FOR HONOLULU

Continued from First Page.

policy claim to be exceedingly gratified that there was no war ship at Honolulu when the uprising of the royalists' supporters against the republic took place, because, they say, the absence of the ship saved the young republic an opportunity to show its strength, and to convince the world that it is simply able to maintain itself and to protect the interests of all foreign residents of the Hawaiian Islands. Even while the Cuckoo was sent saying what at first they thought the Administration would like to have them say, orders were being prepared at the Navy Department sending the Philadelphia to Honolulu, and it is rather difficult to understand, in view of the Administration's expressed gratification at the absence of a war ship, why she should be sent there in such haste.

Admiral Walker's report has been ignored and his recommendations accepted upon for several months, and yet when the very state of things that he predicted has come to pass his suggestions are adopted, and the authority of the United States Government is exerted in behalf of its citizens resident in Hawaii.

Congressmen, naval officers, and public officials have discussed the Hawaiian question today, with singular unanimity, impressed with the conviction that the withdrawal of the British ship from the harbor of Honolulu, and the persistent refusal of the United States Government to send a ship there, were a premeditated part of the plan to give the royalists an opportunity to strike a blow at the republic, and that they have failed in their purpose in doing so. The strength and stability of the Dole Government. In all the statements made by naval officers and public men today the insinuation comes to the surface that the American Government was willing, at the least, to see the Government of the young republic put to the test of an uprising among its own citizens. What gives the greatest surprise to those Congressmen who are in favor of an American policy in Hawaii and elsewhere, is the eagerness with which the President hastens to do the very thing that he has long refused to do—send a warship to Honolulu. When there was danger of revolution, and when one of the ablest officers in the navy pointed out to him that the revolution might occur, with consequent danger to American citizens and American interests, the President refused to listen, but as soon as the revolution actually occurs and the indignation of Congress breaks forth against the Administration a naval vessel is sent forth with to Honolulu. After the news of the uprising reached Washington last night and until the order for the sailing of the Philadelphia was issued this afternoon, the advocates of the present Administration affected to make light of the events of Jan. 6, and to give out the impression that the news of the revolution was exaggerated; that, at any rate, the whole trouble had blown over, and no action on the part of the Administration was necessary. They will soon change their tune and be as eager in endorsing the sailing of the Philadelphia to Honolulu as they were before in commending the President for not sending her.

Among all the comments that are heard in Washington today with regard to the Hawaiian trouble, the most frequent and prominent is the occurrence of the revolution, and the display of the young republic's ability to take care of itself. It is thought, will be accomplished soon, with the consent of the whole American people. One Senator expressed his surprise to-day when he said that, if the United States do not hasten to bring about annexation, they will find themselves forestalled by Japan, which is watching the progress of Hawaiian affairs with a careful and jealous eye.

CONGRESS IS AROUSED.

Hot Words in Both Houses Over the Administration's Un-American Policy.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 19.—The press reports of the suppressed revolution in Hawaii have been the always exciting subject of affairs in those islands prominently before the Senate to-day. After the transaction of some routine business, Mr. Frye (Rep., Me.) offered the following:

Resolved, That the Senate learn with profound indignation of the news of a supposed coup d'etat in power in the Hawaiian Islands, and of the young republic the warmest sympathy in her effort to suppress the rebellion.

Further resolution of the President of the United States ought at once to order the Hawaiian vessels of the United States to be sent to the islands for the protection of the lives and property of American citizens resident in said islands.

Mr. Gray (Dem., Del.)—I object to the last of those resolutions, but not to the first.

The President pro tem, Mr. Harris—The resolution being objected to, goes over under the rules.

Mr. Frye—As the question is divisible, I ask leave to proceed to the consideration of the first clause of the resolution.

The President pro tem—Does the Senator propose to carry the resolution to the consideration of the second clause of the resolution?

Mr. Frye—I will modify it, because there has been a long time pending here a resolution embodying the latter part of mine, which can be taken up at any time.

Mr. Hill (Dem., N. Y.)—I suggest that the words "we learn with regret" would be better than the words "we learn with profound indignation."

Mr. Frye (passionately)—The words "with profound indignation" do not express my feelings in the matter.

"But," Mr. Hill suggested coolly, "we do not propose to express your feelings."

"And they do not," Mr. Frye continued, "express the feelings of the American people to-day—not at all."

"Nor the feelings of the Senator from New York," Mr. Chandler (Rep., N. H.) put in.

"Nor the feelings of the Senator from Delaware," Mr. Frye retorted.

"I would like the privilege of explaining my own feeling," Mr. Hill retorted, "rather than commit them to the guardianship of the Senator from New Hampshire. I simply think that 'regret' better expresses the feelings of the Senate and of the country than the word 'indignation.' That change would relieve the resolution from any friction, and would make it read better."

"Well, Mr. President," said Mr. Frye, "in order that the resolution may be added promptly to the Hawaiian Islands, I will modify it as suggested by the Senator from New York."

"The President," Mr. Hill, "has no objection whatever to it."

The resolution having been read as modified, Mr. Chandler (Rep., N. H.) said that there was no evidence that there had been an attempt to suppress the rebellion in Hawaii. The newspaper dispatches merely stated that there had been an attempt to suppress the rebellion.

Mr. Butler (Dem., S. C.) remarked, "The Senate very promptly put down by the young republic the attempt to suppress the rebellion."

Mr. Chandler (Rep., N. H.) said that there was no evidence that there had been an attempt to suppress the rebellion in Hawaii. The newspaper dispatches merely stated that there had been an attempt to suppress the rebellion.

Mr. Butler (Dem., S. C.) remarked, "The Senate very promptly put down by the young republic the attempt to suppress the rebellion."

Mr. Chandler (Rep., N. H.) said that there was no evidence that there had been an attempt to suppress the rebellion in Hawaii. The newspaper dispatches merely stated that there had been an attempt to suppress the rebellion.

Mr. Butler (Dem., S. C.) remarked, "The Senate very promptly put down by the young republic the attempt to suppress the rebellion."

Mr. Chandler (Rep., N. H.) said that there was no evidence that there had been an attempt to suppress the rebellion in Hawaii. The newspaper dispatches merely stated that there had been an attempt to suppress the rebellion.

Mr. Butler (Dem., S. C.) remarked, "The Senate very promptly put down by the young republic the attempt to suppress the rebellion."

Mr. Chandler (Rep., N. H.) said that there was no evidence that there had been an attempt to suppress the rebellion in Hawaii. The newspaper dispatches merely stated that there had been an attempt to suppress the rebellion.

Mr. Butler (Dem., S. C.) remarked, "The Senate very promptly put down by the young republic the attempt to suppress the rebellion."

Mr. Chandler (Rep., N. H.) said that there was no evidence that there had been an attempt to suppress the rebellion in Hawaii. The newspaper dispatches merely stated that there had been an attempt to suppress the rebellion.

Mr. Butler (Dem., S. C.) remarked, "The Senate very promptly put down by the young republic the attempt to suppress the rebellion."

Mr. Chandler (Rep., N. H.) said that there was no evidence that there had been an attempt to suppress the rebellion in Hawaii. The newspaper dispatches merely stated that there had been an attempt to suppress the rebellion.

Mr. Butler (Dem., S. C.) remarked, "The Senate very promptly put down by the young republic the attempt to suppress the rebellion."

Mr. Chandler (Rep., N. H.) said that there was no evidence that there had been an attempt to suppress the rebellion in Hawaii. The newspaper dispatches merely stated that there had been an attempt to suppress the rebellion.

Mr. Butler (Dem., S. C.) remarked, "The Senate very promptly put down by the young republic the attempt to suppress the rebellion."

Mr. Chandler (Rep., N. H.) said that there was no evidence that there had been an attempt to suppress the rebellion in Hawaii. The newspaper dispatches merely stated that there had been an attempt to suppress the rebellion.

Mr. Butler (Dem., S. C.) remarked, "The Senate very promptly put down by the young republic the attempt to suppress the rebellion."

Mr. Chandler (Rep., N. H.) said that there was no evidence that there had been an attempt to suppress the rebellion in Hawaii. The newspaper dispatches merely stated that there had been an attempt to suppress the rebellion.

Mr. Butler (Dem., S. C.) remarked, "The Senate very promptly put down by the young republic the attempt to suppress the rebellion."

Mr. Chandler (Rep., N. H.) said that there was no evidence that there had been an attempt to suppress the rebellion in Hawaii. The newspaper dispatches merely stated that there had been an attempt to suppress the rebellion.

Mr. Butler (Dem., S. C.) remarked, "The Senate very promptly put down by the young republic the attempt to suppress the rebellion."

Mr. Chandler (Rep., N. H.) said that there was no evidence that there had been an attempt to suppress the rebellion in Hawaii. The newspaper dispatches merely stated that there had been an attempt to suppress the rebellion.

Mr. Butler (Dem., S. C.) remarked, "The Senate very promptly put down by the young republic the attempt to suppress the rebellion."

American ships of war should be kept at Honolulu, but the discussion of the subject was interrupted by the presentation of the conference report on the bill to provide for the Hawaiian Islands. The bill was passed by a vote of 100 to 0, and the House adjourned. The Senate will consider the bill tomorrow.

Mr. Lodge declared, "It is in my judgment, precisely what these royalist agitators were seeking when they were here last summer. He did not mean to imply, he said, that Great Britain was preparing to seize the Sandwich Islands and the British flag there. Her treaty with France would prevent that. The attitude of this country would prevent it. But he thought it was perfectly clear that Great Britain, with the aggressiveness which characterized her foreign policy, was seeking to establish British commercial supremacy in the islands. For that purpose she wanted to have an island on which to land a cable. For that purpose she wanted to have the royalist Government established, because it was a government favorable to her interests and unfavorable to the interests of the United States.

The desire of the people of the United States, Mr. Lodge declared, was to have a cable that we should control there; that our interests should be predominant; that the men of American blood who are now ruling and governing the islands should be sustained; and also that the British commercial supremacy in the islands should be destroyed. It seems to me, in view of the news we have received, that the Senate should delay no longer in expressing its honest convictions on this subject."

Mr. George (Dem., Miss.) said that he had objected to the consideration of Mr. Frye's resolution on the ground that the Senate did not have sufficient information on which to act. The information which the Senate had received, he said, was not authentic. It was a mere press dispatch, and he was not prepared to believe it. He was not prepared to believe that the Senate should be asked to pass a resolution on the basis of a mere press dispatch. He was not prepared to believe that the Senate should be asked to pass a resolution on the basis of a mere press dispatch.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

Mr. K. V. (Rep., N. D.) said that the Senator had said that if an American warship had been present in Honolulu the riot would have occurred. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu. He asked the Senator whether he thought that a riot would have occurred if an American warship had been present in Honolulu.

since that time has been an active enemy of the Government. He and Burke, the latter of whom is now in prison on a charge of being concerned in a plot to overthrow the Government, fought the Government through the little newspapers which they established—little "Herald" and "Herald," and costing about \$10 a week to maintain.

Sumner Nowlin, the other leader of the recent attempt to overthrow the Government, is a seismologist. He is an intense royalist, and was the "chief" of the household guards of the Queen. His character is that of the average keeper of a gin mill. Nowlin is a half-white.

Mr. Thurston received a despatch from the Hawaiian Consul in San Francisco saying that Mr. S. R. Hatch, the Hawaiian Minister for Foreign Affairs, would sail for Honolulu on Monday next. Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

THE LEADER OF THE UPRISING.

He Engineered the Revolution of 1893, Which Was Also Frustrated.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 19.—R. W. Wilcox, the leader of the frustrated revolutionary uprising in Hawaii, lately described by Minister Willis in a despatch to the State Department, dated from Honolulu, Nov. 10, 1893, Hoysas: "R. W. Wilcox is the notorious half-breed who engineered the revolution of 1893."

Among the affidavits submitted by Commissioner Blount in his report on the Hawaiian Islands, one in which he stated that Wilcox was the leader of the revolution of 1893. He is a half-breed, and was the "chief" of the household guards of the Queen. His character is that of the average keeper of a gin mill. Nowlin is a half-white.

Mr. Thurston received a despatch from the Hawaiian Consul in San Francisco saying that Mr. S. R. Hatch, the Hawaiian Minister for Foreign Affairs, would sail for Honolulu on Monday next. Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington.

Mr. Thurston said that he had no information that Mr. Hatch had contemplated coming to Washington. He